

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,306	11/06/2006	Pascal Joguet	318216US41PCT	5487	
22859 7590 01/28/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2629			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2010	ELECTRONIC	

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/590,306	JOGUET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER T. NGUYEN	2629	

JENNIF	EN I. NGUTEN 2029		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on a Period for Reply	he cover sheet with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the manument stateday period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the manument stateday period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the manument stateday period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become AMMONDED (5) LSC, S. 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.74(b).			
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-31</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>13-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is req			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received		
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT R	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce	rtified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S8/00)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

U.S.	Patent	and	Trade	nark	Offic
PT	OL -32	61	Rev	08-	06)

Application/Control Number: 10/590,306 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 13-28, drawn to a method for controlling a computerized device by a multi-contact touch screen by applying a processing rule, classified in class 345, subclass 173.
  - II. Claims 29-31, drawn to a touch panel and calculate a location of the tactile activation with at least two adjacent zones, classified in class 345, subclass 178.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, the method to calculate a location of the tactile activation with at least two adjacent zones in invention II different with the method for controlling a computerized device by a multicontact touch screen by applying a processing rule of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,306

Art Unit: 2629

 A telephone call was made to Nikolaus P. Schibli on 01/19/2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an

Application/Control Number: 10/590,306

Art Unit: 2629

election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2629

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J. T. N./	/Richard Hjerpe/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629